PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	4	SEP	2005
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NO 6921WO/PCT			FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT//PEA/416			
International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2004/009529 26.08.2004			International filing date (26.08.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.10.2003			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A23F5/10, A23F5/46, A23L1/234, A23F5/24							
1	icant							
NE	NESTEC S.A. et al.							
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2.	This REPORT co	onsists of a total of	of 7 sheets, including the	nis cover sheet.				
3.	•	•	y ANNEXES, comprisir					
1		• •	o the International Bure					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a							
	sequence	listing and/or tab	oles related thereto, in c Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable form	n only, as indicated in the Supplemental			
	DOX 1 IC.M.	ing to obquoties	Lioung (ood economic					
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	elating to the following it	ems:				
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opi	nion					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority						
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	☑ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of						
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 ations and explanations	with regard to novelt supporting such state	y, inventive step or industrial ment			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume		_				
	Box No. VII		in the international app					
	⊠ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application				
Date	Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	als report				
30.0	30.03.2005			13.09.2005				
Name and mailing address of the international			nal	Authorized Officer	notes Potentes.			
preliminary examining authority:				ļ	i see Milia			
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			556 epmu d	Rinaldi, F				
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			•	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/009529

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it vi filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		which i ☐ inte ☐ pub	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) elication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	hav	e been	d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which fumished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>	
	Des	cription	n, Pages	
	1-12	2	as originally filed	
	Clai	ms, Nur	mbers	
	1-19)	as originally filed	
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	□ had Sup	I not been plement the large the lar	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below then made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the intal Box (Rule 70.2(c)). It description, pages eclaims, Nos. It drawings, sheets/figs esequence listing (specify): It is the interval of the control	
	*	Tf it	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/009529

						_		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
1.		In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has: restricted the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. neither restricted nor paid additional fees.						
2.	⊠	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.						
3.	This	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 s					cordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3	
		complie	d with.					
	not complied with for the following reasons:							
	see separate sheet							
4.	Cor	nsequent	ly, this report has been	estab	lished in resp	ect of the followi	ng parts of the international application:	
	×	all parts	·.					
		□ the parts relating to claims Nos						
_	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.		tement						
	No	ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9, 18, 19 1-8, 10-17		
	lnv	entive ste	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9, 18, 19 1-8, 10-17		
	Ind	lustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19		

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/009529

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

- 1 The present application lacks unity within the meaning of R.13 PCT.
- 1.1 The following separate inventions or groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept:
 - invention 1 Fermented coffee component with floral and/or floral aroma and a fermentation process (claims 1-8, 10 (partly), 11-17)
 - invention 2 Fermented coffee aroma with specific ratio of methyl butanol to methylbutanal (claims 9, 10 (partly), and 18-19)
- 1.2 The common concept linking together the subject-matter of invention 1 and 2 is the fermented coffee component having floral or fruity aroma. This is however known in the art, for instance from document D1 (see item V of the present communication).
- 1.3 The entire subject-matter claimed could be searched without undue effort.
- 2 The present communication will address both inventions.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Cited prior art

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:
 - D1: ARGAIZ A ET AL: "Quantitative descriptive flavor profile of five brewed Mexican coffees" FSTA, 1997, XP002271954
 - D2: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199246 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D16, AN 1992-377558 XP002271957 & JP 04 278072 A (KANEBO LTD) 2 October 1992 (1992-10-02)
 - D3: DATABASE FSTA [Online] INTERNATIONAL FOOD INFORMATION SERVICE (IFIS), FRANFURT/MAIN, DE; 2000, SOARES M ET AL: "Fruity flavour production by Ceratocystis fimbriata grown on coffee husk in solid-state fermentation." XP002271955 Database accession no. 2000-00-b0966
 - D4: EP-A-0 791 296 (NESTLE SA) 27 August 1997 (1997-08-27)
 - D5: US-A-4 867 992 (BONIELLO BARBARA ET AL) 19 September 1989 (1989-

09-19)

- D6: DATABASE EMBASE [Online] ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL; 1 July 2003 (2003-07-01), HAUCK T ET AL: "Formation of 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3[2H]-furanone by Zygosaccharomyces rouxii: Identification of an intermediate" XP002271956 Database accession no. EMB-2003291945
- D7: LEE K G; SHIBAMOTO T: "Analysis of volatile components isolated from Hawaiian green coffee beans (Coffea arabica L.)" FLAVOUR AND FRAGRANCE JOURNAL, vol. 17, 15 April 2002 (2002-04-15), pages 349-351, XP009031885

Novelty - Invention 1

- The subject-matter of independent product claims 1 and 13 and of independent process claim 14 does not fulfil the requirements of Art.33(2) PCT. Reference is made to the following documents, for relevant passages please see International Search Report.
- 2.1 D1 discloses coffee base and beverages with fruity or floral aroma. As is generally known in the art, all coffee undergoes a fermentation process, usually previous to the roasting process to remove mucilaginous material. This anticipates the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 13.
- 2.2 D2 discloses a coffee base and beverage having a refreshing taste / aroma obtainable from a process wherein liquid coffee extract is fermented with Saccharomyces at a temperature of 20°C. This anticipates the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 13 and 14.
- 2.3 D3 discloses fermentation of coffee component husk in order to provide a fruity flavour such as pineapple and banana. The coffee beverage is considered to be implicitly disclosed. This anticipates the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 13.

Novelty - Invention 2

The subject-matter of product claims 9 and 18 and 19 fulfills the requirements of Art.33(2) PCT. D7 discloses (Table 1) a dried green coffee (which has obviously already undergone fermentation during removal of mucilaginous layer) wherein the ratio of 3-methylbutanol to 3-methylbutanal is 3.3. However, the amount of 2-methyl compounds remains undisclosed.

Inventive step - invention 1

- In view of the divulgation of D1-D6 the subject-matter presently disclosed in claims 1-8 and 10-17 is considered to be obvious.
- 4.1 D4 teaches that low alcohol amounts in fermented coffee can be obtained when the fermentation is carried out at a low temperature, i.e. between 0°C and 25°C. This divulgation renders the subject-matter of process claim 14 obvious in combination with the disclosure of D1 and D3.
- 4.2 D5 discloses that a coffee base and beverage with a buttery flavour is obtained when diacetyl producing microorganisms are grown on coffee solids. Hence, it is not regarded to be inventive to inoculate coffee solids with microorganisms producing fruity or floral substances (such as esters) in order to provide a coffee base and beverage having a fruity and/or floral aroma (see for instance D6).
- 4.3 At present, the subject-matter disclosed in the depending claims appears to be trivial to the one skilled in the art and does not justify acknowledgement of an inventive step.

Inventive step - invention 2

The subject-matter of present claim 9, 18 and 19 involves an inventive step (Art.33(3) PCT). There is no indication to provide a beverage base with fruity and floral aroma notes having the claimed ratio of compounds.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1 The following clarity deficiencies were noted (Art.6 PCT).
- 1.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 and claim 11 is formulated as a result to be achieved: The wording of claim 1 discloses a desired product but it fails to describe how said product is obtainable.
- 1.2 The expression "being substantially non-alcoholic" (claim 1) has no well-recognized meaning in the art and is not suitable for delimiting the exact scope of said claim (PCT-Guideline 5.34).
- 1.3 Similarly, the expressions "increased levels" (claim 7) and "artificially fermented" (claim 8) have no particular, well-recognized meaning in the art and are not suitable for delimiting the exact scope of said claims.